App. F. IX-4

1928. File No. 94 26

Previous References (S+A) Serial Nos. 5, 7, 8.

S+A 27-24(2-13)
S+A 94-26-60

Later References
S+A 361-36(1-2)
S+A 99-32(1-2)
S.P. 1-44(1&6)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos.

Part B for January 29.

SUBJECT Distribution of the pension enjoyed by Venkatapathi Naika among Ranjammanni, Ammaniamma & Akkayamma.

Govt No: 5429 dt 19/1/29
to the Collector

Sanctions the —

Abby

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

General and Revenue Secretariat

1889

Requisition for papers.

Section S&A

A

B

Filed

Deposit

File No. 74-28

Serial No. 11 11—13

To be put up with Diary No. 4374 of 1328

Signature M.K. Nath

Branch FC

Section S&A

Date 11/4

App F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

S.A File No. 94 of 1928

Serial No.

7

Letter No: 4013 | S.H.Try dated 21.12.28 from the Comptroller forwarding for orders the report of the S.H. Try. officer on the petition of Mr. R.P. Koneti Naik regarding the distribution of the share of the Pollegar pension sanctioned to his son Venkata-pathi Naik now deceased.

The history of the Case is as follows

1) R. P. Venkatapathi Naik, a Son of R.P. Koneti Naik, a Pollegar of Royadrug family is reported to have died on 25.8.1928. This Venkata-pathy Naik has two wives: viz.

(i) Ammannamma 1st wife with her daughter Ranjamma.

(ii) Akkayyamma. The 2nd wife.

2) As a result of the enquiry which was set afoot on a petition from Amma-nnamma for a maintenance allowance from her husband, a maintenance allowance of Rs 15/- p.m. was sanctioned

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 021—GPB—30,000—21-8-28

General and Revenue Secretariat

S. A File No. 2665/94 of 1928

Serial No.

Flag: F

out of the one third share of her husba
(ii) Rs 29/- out of the family pension
of Rs 87-8-0). Vide G.O: No:
R: 1328/ S.A. 27-24-13 dated 29.8.2

3 After the death of R.P. Venkatapathi
Naik, this pension of Rs 29/- ~~has~~ is
~~been paid~~ claimed by the following
persons: (i) Ammannamma who is already
being paid Rs 15/- p.m.
(ii) Akkayyamma. 2nd wife.
(iii) R. P. Kaneti Naik, The
father of the deceased. Venka-
tapathi Naik.

4. The report of the S. H. Treasury Officer
forwarded by the Comptroller on
the several contentions is for orders
(Vide para 14 of the letter of S. H. Try
Officer).

Submitted for orders.

Ps
9.1.29

S.R. 5

The recommendation of
the S. H. Try. Officer to distri
bute the shares of the deceased
R. P. Venkatapathi Naik, Rs 29
out of the pension of Rs 87 in

NOTE—Contn. sheet

Serial No.

1775/1671

The name of his father Mr. R. P. Koneli Naik in the following manner,

Daughter by the first wife. (Rangainaini) Rs 5

1st wife Rs 12

2nd " Rs 12

till the marriage of the daughter and thereafter in equal shares between the two widows, seems to be fair and warranted by the facts of the case.

6/ The father has no I think no claim during the lifetime of the widows, the survivor of the two probably getting the whole pension.

7/ The question of how the pension of Rs 29/- has to be disposed of after the death of the surviving widow may perhaps be considered after the death actually occurs.

8/ The S. R. may be consulted.

H. Seely)

1/12

10/1/29

9/ The S. A. Dy officials proposals - para 14 of the P. W. D are reasonable and may be approved.

WD 021—GPB—30,000—21-8-28

3040 pf 28

(4

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

F. & A. File No. 965/24

of 192 .

Serial No.

When Rs 15· were carried out of the husband's pension for the support of Annamanamma & her daughter, a sum of Rs 5· was proposed in the Law Secy's note of 24·7·1928 as the minimum allowance for the maintenance of the un-married daughter.

(10) That maintainability should be adhered to. The balance of the pension Rs 24 maybe distributed between the two widows.

(11) The question of readjustment of the shares may be examined when the ~~Sanyasi~~ daughter is married or when any of the shares die

1st Member Mad
L. R

12.1.1929
M

12·9 agree
12/1
rmg
16.1.29

13 Issue order
16/1

Draft
M
16-1-29

Petition dated 16·1·29 from Annamani-amma praying that the case of her minor daughter Ranganamani may be taken into consideration when fixing the shares of each of the claimants—

P.U.D II

No. 4215

NOTE—Contn. sheet

Serial No.

15. Subt with a draft G.O ~~for~~ with reference to paras 9 & 14. ~~Consideration~~ - ~~and~~ the petition*, dated 16-1-29 (since recd.) is also placed in the file - petn to be ~~dld~~ (no orders on this (~~case~~ needed)

18-1-29 SDR-

Chair

16/1/29

(~~Secy to Govt~~ & Controller)

19/1/29

G.O: No: R.-5429 dated 19.1.29

Approves of the proposals of the S.H. Try. Officer - etc.

To The Comptroller - with records.

SDR 19/1/29

* P.V.D.II the petr says that he has adduced addl. facts in this petn

WD 021—GPB—30,000—21-8-28

S-HA 94-28-5

X 1864 .6
24

To

The Financial Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, Bangalore.

Respected Sir,

I beg to submit herewith a Canarese copy of my statement (as I cannot express it clearly in English) before the Khajana Bakshi (State Huzur Treasury Officer) in answer to the petition presented to youby Ammannamma the 1st wife of my deceased son R. P. Venkatapathi Naik and in answer to the petition presented to the Khajana Bhakshi by Akkammannamma the 2nd wife with a request that you will be pleased to go through my statement in detail and pass just and reasonable orders in preference to the orders passed during the life-time of my son, considering the present circumstances, the young age of the wives of my deceased unfortunate son, and in their living apart from me.

For which act of kindness, I shall ever pray.

I beg to remain,
Respected Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Bangalore,
26th October 1928.

C. B. Venkatasubbiah Pillay
No 5 Subbarayan Street
Cottonpet

ಮೈಸೂರ್ ಸ್ಕೈಲಾರ್ಕ್ ಪ್ರಜಾರ್ ಪಬ್ಲಿಷರ್ಸ್
ಸನ್ನಿಧಿಗೆ - ರಾಯಚೂರು ಪಳಿಯುಗಾಳ ಪಿ.ಪಿ. ಕೋನೇಗೌಡನಾಯ್ಕ
ರ ಬಿನ್ನವತ್ತಳೆ.

ನನ್ನ ಮಗ ವೆಂಕಟಪತಿನಾಯಕನ ಹಿರಿ ಮಕ್ಕಳಿಂಗೆ
ಕೊಂಡಿರಿಸಬ್ಬೂ ಅಕನಿಗೆ ನನ್ನ ಮಾರ್ಗಪಕ್ಕುಸಲ್ಲಕ್ಕೆ ಇದ್ದ
ಮನೆಕನದ (Political) ಪೇಷ್ಕರ್ ವಿಷ್ಯಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಬರೆದುಕ್ಕೊಂಡ ಅರ್ಜಿ
ರಾಪಿಗಳು ಅಪ್ಪಣೆಗೊಡಿಸಿದ್ದು ನೋಡಿದೆ. ಈ ಪೇಷ್ಕರ್ ನಮ್ಮ
ಪಾಳ್ಯಪಲ್ಲಿನ ಬೂಮಿಗಳು ಕೆಲೆದುಕ್ಕೊಂಡಚ್ಚಿದ್ದಾಗಿಯೂ ಮಕ್ಕು
ನಮ್ಮ ಮನೆಕನ ಸೌಕವವನ್ನು ರಾಪಾದಿ ಕ್ಕೊಳ್ಳಿವುದಕ್ಕಾಗಿಯೂ
ಹೊಡಲ್ಪಚ್ಚದ್ದಾಗಿದೆ. ಇಂಥಾದ್ದರಲ್ಲಿ ನಂಡನನ್ನು ಬಿಟ್ಟು ಮನೆಕನಕ್ಕೆ
ಯಜಮಾನನಾದ ನನ್ನಲ್ಲಿಯೂ ಇಲ್ಲದೆ ಪ್ರಕ್ಯೇಕವಾಗಿದ್ದುಕ್ಕೊಂಡು
ಇರುವ ಇವಂಗೆ ಸ್ವಾಕದವರು ಬುದ್ದಿವಾದಜ್ಕೊಳ್ಳದೆ ಮನೆಕನದ
ಸೌಕವಾಚ್ಚವಾದ ಪೇಷ್ಕರ್ ಬ್ಯಾಕಮೂಡಿಕೊಡಿಸುಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದರೆ ನಾವು
ಮೂಡಕಕ್ಕಲ್ಲದ್ದೇನಿದೆ. ಇವಕ ನಂಡನಿಕುವವಳಿಗೂ ಅಕನು ಇವ
ರಿಗೆ ಜ್ಯೀವನನಡಿಸಬ್ಯೇರಾದ್ದು ಕರ್ಕವ್ಯವಾಗಿರ್ತ್ತು. ಈಗ ಅಕನು
ನಕಿಸಿ ಜ್ಯೀವದ್ದರಿಂದ ಅವಕ ಮನೆಕನದ ಸೌಕವವನ್ನು ರಾಪಾಡಿಕಲು
ಮೂವನಾದ ನನ್ನಬಡನೆ ಇದ್ದುಕ್ಕೊಂಡು ಅವಕ ಜ್ಯೀವನವನ್ನು ನಡೆಸಿ
ಕೊಂಡು ಮನೆಕನದ ಸೌಕವವನ್ನು ರಾಪಾಡಕಕ್ಕಲ್ಲದ್ದು ಕರ್ಕವ್ಯವಾ
ಗಿರುತ್ತೆ. ಈ ವಿಷ್ಯಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ವಾಕರಕಕಷ್ಟು ಕಾವ್ಯಗಳು ಅವಗೆ
ಬುದ್ದಿವಾದವನ್ನು ಜ್ಯೀಳಿ ಸೌಕವವನ್ನು ರಾಪಾಡಿಕಕ್ಕಲ್ಲದ್ದೆಂದು ನನಗೆ
ಕ್ಕೋರಿ ಅಕಿಕೆ ಮೂಡಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ನನ್ನಮಗ ವೆಂಕಟಪತಿನಾಯ್ಡನು
ಸಕ್ಕೆ ವೇಳೆ ಜ್ಯೀಳಿ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದಾಕ್ಯೂಡೆಂಡಕಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿಯೂ ಕ್ಕೊಳ್ಳಲಿಕಲೆಂ
ಅಥವಾ ಮನಕ್ಕಾನಲಿ ಬಕಲ್ಕೆಇಲ್ಲ. ಮಕ್ಕು ನಾನು ಕಮಗಳನ್ನು
ಮೂಡಿದ ವೇಳೆ ಜ್ಯೀಳಿ ಕೊಳ್ಳಿಸಿ ಕಾಕ್ಯೂ ಎಂಬ್ಕೆ ಲಿಕಡಿ ಬಂದವಕಲ್ಲ.
ಇದಕ್ಕೆಲ್ಲಾ ಬಿಜುಗಳಿಗಾಗಿ ನಾನು ಈಗ ನೂಕಾರುರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳ
ವಕಿಗೂ ಸಾಲಮೂಡಿ ನಡೆಸಿಕುಕ್ಕೋನೆ.

ಮೊದಲನ್ಕೆ ಹೆಂಡಕಿ ಅಮ್ಮಣ್ಣಿಮ್ಮನ ಮಗಳಿಗೆ ಮದು
ವೆ ವಯಸ್ಸು ಪ್ರಪ್ತಿಕಾಗುಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆ. ಅವಳ ಮದುವೆಯುಂಕಾ
ರ ರಾಯಗಳು ನಾವು ನಡಿಸಕಕ್ಕಲ್ಲದ್ದೇ ವಿನಹ ಮಕ್ಕ್ಯೆಂಗೂ
ಕುಳ್ಳರುವ್ದಿಲ್ಲ. ಈಂಕೆ ರಂಚಿಯೂದ ಸ್ಕೂಲ್ ಪಾಲಕ್ಕೆಷ್ಟೆ ಸಾಮಿ
ನಾಯಂಕರು ಇಚ್ಚೆಲ್ಲದುದನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾ ಕಲ್ಪನೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಅಕಿಕೆ ದುಚ್ಕೋ
ಕೆಮಾಡಿ ನಮ್ಮಗಳ ಸೌಕವಕ್ಕೆ ಹಾನಿ ಕಂದಿಬರುಕ್ಕಾಕೆ. ಈ ವಿಷ್ಯಯ
ದಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ಸ್ಕೂಲ್ ಪಾಲಕ್ಕೆಷ್ಟೆ ಸಾಮಿಯವಕ ಸುಮಾರು ಏಂ ವಕ್ಷಕ್ಕೆ
ಮೇಲ್ಪಚ್ಚ ಮಗಳು ಮದುವೆ ಇಲ್ಲದೆ ಈವಕಿಗೂ ನಿಂಕಿಕಕಕ್ಕಲ್ಲದ್ದು
ಪ್ರತ್ಯಕ್ಷಂಕವಾಗಿರುಕ್ಕೆ.

ಎಕಡನ್ಕೆ ಹೆಂಡಕಿ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಮ್ಮನ್ಗಮ್ಮ ಏಂಬಾಕೆಯೂ
ಆಕೆ ಕಾಯಿಬಳಿ ಇರುಕ್ಕಾಳೆ. ಈಕೆಯ ಕಾಯಿಯ ನಡವಳಿಕೆ
ಗಳು ಈಕೆ ನಂಡುಮಕ್ಕಳಿಗ್ಕೆನೆ ಸರಿಬ್ಕಾಳದೆ ಕಾಯಸ್ಸು ಬಿಟ್ಟು
ಪ್ರಕ್ಯೇಕವಾಗಿದ್ದಾಕೆ. ಇಂಥಾದ್ದರಲ್ಲಿ ಬಕ್ಕವಯಸ್ಸಾದಕ್ಕಲಕ್ಷ್ಮ
ಮ್ಮಗ್ಗಮ್ಮನಿಗೆ ಪ್ರಕ್ಯೇಕವಾಗಿ ಕಾಯಿಯ ಬಳಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ವಾಸಮೂ
ಡಲು ಅವರಾಕೆ ಕೊಕ್ಕದ್ದೇಲದಕೆ ಮನೆಕನದ ಸೌಕವವೆ ಹಾನಿ
ಬರುವಂಕೆ ಇರುಕ್ಕೆ. ಇದನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾ ಕಾವು ಚೆನ್ನಾಗಿ ಪಯಾಲೋಚನೆ

IMMEDIATE.

S & A 94-28-7

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER TO THE GOVERNMENT
OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

To No. 4013/S 117 Bangalore, dated 20th December 1928.
14 Le with a list.

21

FINANCIAL SECRETARY
21 DEC. 1928
No. 14

From

S. SHAMANNA, Esq., B.A.,
Comptroller to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
BANGALORE.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Financial Department,
B A N G A L O R E.

D 2665

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 3821 dated 22nd November 1928, I have the honour to forward herewith for orders the report of the state Huzur Treasury Officer on the petition of Mr. R. P. Koneti Naik regarding the distribution of the share of political pension sanctioned to his son Venkatapathi Naik now deceased.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

S. Shamanna

COMPTROLLER.

GENL. & REV. SECTT.
21 DEC. 1928
FINANCIAL BRANCH.

PSK.

No.

State Huzur Treasury,
Bangalore, the dated 19-12-1928.

From,

The Officer in Charge,
State Huzur Treasury,
BANGALORE.

To,

The Comptroller in Mysore,
BANGALORE.

Sir,

Subject:-- Letter No. Fl. 3821 dated 22-11-28 from the Secretary to Government, Financial Department forwarding to h the Comptroller for disposal a petition dated 26-10-28 from Mr. R. P. Koneti Naik requesting that the ~~xxxxx~~ share of the pension of Rs. 29/- paid to his son Venkatapati Naik now deceased might be reverted to him as his son is now dead leaving behind him two widows and an only daughter by his first wife.

With reference to the above I have the honour to ~~xxxx~~ state as follows:--

1 Mr. R. P. Koneti Naik was the Baravardar of the Rayadurg pallegar family drawing a pension of Rs. 87-8-9 per month before the G.O. No. Fl.1328 S&A 27-11-13 dated 29-8-25. Out of the above pension, the sum of Rs. 29/- has been distributed as the share of Mr. R.P. Venkatapathi Naik the son of the above pensioner under the ~~above~~ Govt order dated 29th August 1925.

2 A sum of Rs. 15/- has been sanctioned to the said Mr. Venkatapathi Naik's first wife Ammannamma daughter of Gopalakrishnaswamy Naik, pollegar of Manjarabad family as maintenance allowance in the same order out of her husband's share of pension and she has been even since drawing this allowance. She has an un-married daughter a minor under her protection.

3 Mr. R.P. Venkatapathi Naik is reported to have

have died on 25th August 1928, at Bangalore.

The petition marked flag (B) was presented by the second wife Akkayamma of the deceased pensioner on 12-9-1928 claiming the continuance of pension of Rs. 14/- which her husband was drawing at the time of death and she asserts that she is the only legal heir for the pension, the first wife Ammannamma being already provided for with an allowance of Rs. 15/- per mensum out of her husband 's share of pension of Rs. 29/-.

5 Notices were issued to his first wife Ammanamma and also to the Baravardar Mr. R.P. Koneti Naik on 18-9-28 from this office for appearing and filing statements.

6 On 15-10-1928 Mr. Gopalkrishnaswamy Naik father of the first wife Ammannamma produced on her behalf a writeen statement stating that out of the sum of Rs.14/- which the late Venkatapathi Naik was drawing a reasonable amount may be sanctioned for the support of her daughter Najammanni whose expenses of study and marriage are to be met by her mother in case Najammanni is not considered as the only "proper representative and reversionary heir of the deceased" entitled to either fully inherit or be made the recipient of a reasonable portion of the share of pension of her father.

7 On 26-10-28, the Baravardar Mr.R.P.Koneti Naik produced a written statement saying that the sum of Rs 15/- has already been given to the first wife of the deceased for the support of herself and her daughter. There is no reason to give more for the first wife . He also states that the sum of Rs 5/- only may be given to the second wife of the deceased and the rest to him.

8 The oredr referred to in the petition by the petitioners was passed after a consideration of all the facts and circumstances of the family whereby the deceased (Venkatapathi Naik) was given a separate share out of his father Mr. Koneti Naik's pension of Rs. 87/-. The Baravard remains in his father's name. He cannot now reopen the question of reversion of the separated pension

pension of his son late Venkatapathi Naik whose 2 widows Ammannamma & Akkayamma and daughter Nanjamma are living. All the grounds put forward by Mr. Koneti Naik had been considered at the time of the order referred to above and his son's death does not affect his case at the present.

9 The only question that is reasonably put forward is that the deceased venkatapathi Naik's part pension of Rs. 14/- that he was enjoying after paying his first wife Ammannamma Rs 15/-, maintenance allowances as received in the same order and now laid claim to by his 2 nd wife Akkayamma for being continued in full to her is to be reduced in view of the 1st wife Ammannamma's representations set out in her petition. Nanjamma is under her mother Ammannamma's protection as she was so even at the time of the last order. She is not the only heir to her father Venkatapathi Naik as urged by her mother. Under Hindu Law to which all these petitioners are subject the surviving widows are superior heirs and the daughter is at present entitled to maintenance until her marriage, when she comes under the support and protection of her husband.

10 When a sum of Rs. 15/- was settled as maintenance for Ammannamma out of her husband's pension of Rs. 29/-, the question of maintenance of Nanjamma also was before the Government. Ammannamma is drawing Rs. 15/- an amount which is more than half the share of her husband's full pension of Rs. 29/- and it is possible to consider that this amount in excess of her husband's must have been sancetioned to enable her to maintain her daughter also. However the order is silent so far as Nanjamma's case is considered.

11 Akkayamma 2nd wife is not in such affluent circumstances as to be denied at least an equal treatment with the first wife Ammannamma. There are instances in which daughters have been provided for with the widows after the demise of the original pensioner. At the time of the passing of the order referred to above (the daughter) Nanjamma's guardianship had not been

been settled between the parents. As no express decission about her maintenance had been given, Ammannamma's request can-not be considered to be altogether unreasonable.

12. Nanjamma is a minor and unmarried. Her education has to be met out of her mothers allowances. It appears to be equitable (to grant a small allowance) out of the entire pension of Rs. 29/- of her father till her marriage. This will result in reducing her mother Ammannamma's allowance for a time and equalising the allowances of both the widows. Subsequent to her marriage (when she will find support and protection of her husband) her allowances will have to revert and both the widows will then share equally their husband's pension.

13. If Ammannamma agrees to this or not and in any case Akkanjamma who was a lawfully wedded (2nd) wife of the deceased is entitled to her husband's pension equally with Ammannamma.

14. In view of these circumstances I recommend that Nanjamma may be granted an allowance of Rs. 5/- per mensum till her marriage out of her fathers pension of Rs. 29/-. The reminder being equally distributed to the 2 wives at Rs. 12/- per month.

15. The connected papers are submitted for perusal and return.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Srinivasaraja Rao

Officer in Charge

State Huzur Treasury.

Bangalore.

PSK.

GENL. & REV. SECTT.
FINANCIAL BRANCH.

GENL. & REV. SECTT.
16 JAN. 1929
FINANCIAL BRANCH.

D 2999 H

To

B. SRINIVASA IYENGAR, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Financial Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
BANGALORE.

Sir,

In continuation of my petition dated the 15th ~~ultimo~~ October 1928 submitted through the Khazana Bakshi, State -- Huzur Treasury, Bangalore, re. the future disposal of share allowed to my late husband Mr. Venkatapathi Naik, out of the Rayadurg Palegar Family Pension amounting to a sum of Rs 87-8-9, as per Government Proceedings No.El. 1328/S & A. 27-24-13 dated the 29th August 1925,

2. I most respectfully beg to mention hereunder, for further information, one or two additional facts in support of my original proposal.

3. That the above stipend, it goes without saying, is allowed for the support of the whole of the family, including myself, as the eldest daughter-in-law thereof (subsequently widowed) and my un-married daughter, Nanjammanni.

4. That after divorce from my husband legally ordered, Government were pleased to allot a sum of --- Rs 15/- for my maintenance out of his share amounting to Rs 29/- in the apportionment of the above family pension.

5. That being only a minor then, Nanjammanni's

case was not represented to Government and in consequence they probably have not taken it into their consideration.

This is wrong the case was certainly entertained

6. That subsequent to the divorce and the Government Order under advertance, the guardian-ship of his -- minor daughter, Nanjamanni, was claimed by her late father and rejected, but entrusted to me by the learned District Judge, Bangalore, in preference, purely in the social --- and educational interest of the girl.

7. That still the responsibility, the care and support of his minor daughter Nanjammanni, naturally entailing on the late Mr. Venkatapathi Naik, primarily as her parent and latterly through the above guardian-ship, indirectly, in my humble opinion devolved on him throughout both morally and legally.

8. That Nanjammanni's right on her father's bounty being so casually established during his life-time, doubtless continues, if I am not mistaken even after his death.

9. That, moreover, Hindu Law of inheritance provides for Nanjammanni's succession to her father's assets being the only representative in his family which is devoid of any male issue.

10. That, further, there are precedents in our ---- local community to support Nanjammanni"s claim, and amongst them the more important are, one relating to the Palegar -- family of Chitaldrug, and the other to that of Anegundi. --- The genealogical trees one of each are submitted herewith for information, accompanied by that of our own Rayadrug family for purposes of reference and comparison.

11. That, in conclusion, I humbly request the claim

of his minor daughter, Nanjammanni, to the share of her late father Mr. Venkatapathi Naik, was in receipt of,--- out of the Rayadrug Palegar Family Pension may be graciously viewed with favour in consideration of the material, moral, and legal aspect of her case represented above.

12. Apologizing for troubling you in the midst of more important duties and thanking you in anticipation -

I beg to remain,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

ಅಮ್ಮಣ್ಣಿಅಮ್ಮನವರು ಪ

Sd/- Ammaniamma
of the Rayadrug
Palegar Family.

Bangalore,

Dated Novr: '28.

16 Rs journey/14 ಅಮ್ಮಣ್ಣಿಅಮ್ಮನವರು ಪ

ADDRESS:-

Ammaniamma,
c/o M. Gopalakrishnaswamy Naik,
Palegar of Manjarabad,
147, Cottonpet, Bangalore City.

Kuttakkan Nair (died in 18

(1st Son) Vira Bhadirera Nair

(wife)

Satyavanna (died, 1889)

Sister-in-law of Parasooram Nair

Pension granted Rs. 25-0-1

on 20th August 1872

Distribution of

Parasooram Nair's

pension after his

death in 1872.

On the death of

Parasooram Nair in

1872 the pension was

distributed to his wife

and two daughters

and Sister-in-law

in 1872.

Government Proceedings

No. 2775-177 dated

20th August 1872

I/ Kunnuma Nagabhi

(1st wife) Rs. 37-9-2

2/ Thayamajee

1st daughter Rs. 37-9-1

3/ Chikka Satyavanna

(2nd daughter) Rs. 37-9-1

4/ Satyavanna

(Sister-in-law)

Rs. 25-0-1

Thayamajee

(1st daughter)

Daughters each enjoy

Nair's pension on 20th Aug-

Together with 50 per cent increase

daughters ca

34) Chitaldroog Balagar Family

1st Son) Parabooram Naik (died 1872)

1st wife | Hanumanna Nagathi

* In 1872 Husband's pension Rs. 37-9-2 together with 50 per cent increase in 1893 amounting to Rs. 56-5-9.

2nd wife | 2 Daughters

Chinka Seshamma (2nd Daughter)

Rs. 37-9-1 of their father Parabooram died 1872.

in 1893 amounting to Rs. 56-5-7

enjoy

pension of Rs. 22-9-7 granted to the fa

Channaraj - Subbaraj - Doddaraj - Chikka Sub
(1) (2) (3) (4)

Podoli Nagaik
(7)

(Daughter) Rajo

On the demise of Jogannaraj in
succeeded to the pension. On t
said pension Viz. Rs. 16-15-3 was
Rajaannanni and on her d
the pension lapsed

(Anugundi Polegar family) 16

mily consisting of seven individuals Viz.

beej - Dharmaraj - [redacted] Yoganarasimharaj •
(6th) (Died in August 1830)

(Son) Yoganarasimhaiya
(died 1870)

narasimha, pension Rs. 16-11-0-3

continued in July 1870.

Died on 21st September 1871, the pension

lapsed to the State.

August 1830, his son Yoganarasimhaiya

death of Yoganarasimhaiya in July 1870,

continued to his daughter

eath on the 21st September 1871

to the State. —


Rangadhrug pulleyar Fam
Kumaki Naik (Barr
1st wife (dies)
1st Son
Rajagopal Naik.
(2nd Son)
Venkatapathy Naik
(dies un
(1st wife)
Married on 11th June 1914
by Hindu
Ammaniyamma (petitioner)
One daughter by Hindu
Nanjammani (minor)

uily. Genealogical tree.

uerchars)

Amounts of monthly stipend now drawn Rs. 87-8-9:

2nd wife (Dec)
(2 Daughters)

1st Daughter (married) 2nd Daughter (married)

25-12-1928)

(2nd wife)

20

To

B. SREENIVASA IYENGAR, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Financial Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
B A N G A L O R E .

THROUGH

Khazana Bakshi,
State Huzur Treasury,
B A N G A L O R E

Sir,

With reference to Government Proceedings No. Fl. 1328/ S. & A. 27-24-13 of the 29th August 1925, ordering that of the Rayadrug Palegar Family, pension of Rs 87-8-9 a sum of Rs 29/- be treated as the share of my husband Mr. R.P.Venkatapathy Naik, and that out of his share, Rs 15/- should be paid to me, I most respectfully beg to state as follows :-

2. That in the first place, I tender, though tardy -- my most greatful acknowledgments to Government for the great sympathy and gracious consideration my poor case has received at ~~the~~ their hands.

3. That, with feelings of great sorrow, I hereby report, for the information of Government, the sad and untimely death on the 25th August last, of my husband Mr. R.P.Venkatapathy Naik.

4. That, in consequence thereof, the sum of Rs 14/- my husband was actually in receipt of out of his share amount-

amounting to Rs 29/- awaited disposal, having in my humble opinion, become available for the support of his surviving legal heir or heirs, as the case may be.

5. That, I believe, Government are not unaware of the existence of a young daughter Mr. R.P.Venkatapathy Naik has left behind unprovided for, but still consigned to my care and custody by the learned District Judge, Bangalore, over two years' ago when the guardian-ship of the girl was claimed by her father.

6. That the responsibility entailing in bringing up the girl, Nanjammanni, having, as per orders of the Court, devolved on me, Government will be glad to hear that I have been carefully attending to all her wants, personal, more particularly educational, meeting, but not without much inconvenience, cost thereof from the above small allowance Government have kindly granted to me, more correctly apportioned as said above, for my maintenance. Being already 12 years' old, Nanjammanni has reached the marriageable age when the matrimonial problem of a responsible, but none the less anxious, nature engages, if not ought to engage, the serious attention of a family belonging to Palegar community.

7. That Government will further be glad to hear Nanjammanni has passed the Mysore Lower Secondary Examination and that thereby she happens to be so far the first girl student in our small community to secure the successful result. With the advent of such qualitication combined with her natural aptitude for learning, Nanjammanni, it is my -- great desire, should at all costs and risks be allowed to continue in scholastic career for higher education. The latter necessarily entails, as is well known, additional -

expenditure, such as, it goes without saying my limited -- pecuniary means can never afford.

8. That, I am told, Hindu Law provides daughter with right of heirship and succession to the inheritance of a deceased parent who leaves behind no male offspring. Viewing the application from such codal provision, Nanjammanni the legitimate daughter of the late Mr. Venkatapathy Naik placed in analogous family position, happens to be his only proper representative and reversionary heir entitled to either fully inherit or be made recipient of a reasonable portion of, the share in the political pension her late -- father was in enjoyment of.

9. That in conclusion, I beg, if the interpretation of the legal point, such as I have relied upon, be considered erroneous and the application too forward the humble -- solicitation thereof may graciously be treated as an appeal to the prerogative of Government for any help that could possibly be made available from the source mentioned, in the interest of Nanjammanni's further education at present and support in after life,

in consideration of all the material and moral, not to say legal, grounds of her case herein set forth.

Apologizing for troubling you in the midst of more important duties and thanking you in anticipation.

I beg to remain,
S i r,
Your most obedient servant,

ಅಮ್ಮಣ್ಣಮ್ಮನವರು

Sd. Ammaniamma, of the
Rayadurg Palegar Family.

Bangalore,
15-16 October 1928.
"

Address:-
c/o M. Gopalakrishnaswamy
Naik, Palegar of Manjarabad,
No.147, Cottonpet, Bangalore City.

G.R..S VI G 34]

23

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 192 .

F

Date of issue..... 19.1.29 .....

Signed by..... M. M. K .....

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette.
English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette,
Kannada Part ? W

PK-5
19/1

Section S.A.

File No. 94-28

Serial No. 8

Proceedings of the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read— G.O: No: H: 1328/S.A. 27-24-1 dated the 29th Aug. 25, sanctioning a maintenance allowance of Rs 15/- to Ammanniamma, the 1st wife of R. P. Venkatapathi Naik for the benefit of herself and her minor daughter Ranjammanni, out of Rs 29/- which was treated as her husband's share of the family pensions of Rs 87-8-9, which stood in the name of Mr. R. P. Koneri Naik, the father of R. P. Venkatapathi Naik, now deceased.

Order Thereon No.

(2) Petition, dated the 26th Oct. 28 from Mr. R. P. Koneri Naik, praying for the reversion to him of the separated pension of his son viz., Rs 29/

2

(8) Letter no: 4013/S.H. Try, dated 21st Dec 28 P.W.D. I
from the Comptroller, forwarding for orders
the report of the S.H. Try Officer a.o. regarding
the distribution of the
pension of R.P. Venkatapathi
Naik who is reported to have died
on 25th Aug: 1928 recommending
that in the circumstances, Ranjammanni
the daughter of Ammanniamma, the 1st wife
may be granted an allowance of
Rs 5/- p.m. till her marriage and
the remainder of Rs 24/- may be
divided equally between Ammanniamma
and Akkayyamma, the two widows
of R.P. Venkatapathi Naik

Order no 1534/31 dated 19/2/29

List of enclosures.

1) The proposals of the S.H. Try
officer are ~~unusable and the Govt.~~
~~are advised to~~ approved. ~~by them.~~

2. The question of readjustment
of the shares ~~will~~ should be examined,
after the daughter is married,
or when any of the shares &c.

Copied by

Examined by

3. The report of R.P. Venkatapathi Naik is tenable
when the widows and daughter Ranjammanni
Naik are living. Put up Secy to Govt

WD 1883 - GPB—1,000,00- 21-12-21

To The Comptroller
with records.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Read:-

G.O.No.Fl.1328/S.A.27-24-13 dated the 29th August 1928, sanctioning a maintenance allowance of Rs.15/- to Ammanniamma, the 1st wife of R. P. Venkatapathi Naik for the benefit of herself and her minor daughter, Nanjammanni, out of Rs.29/- which was treated as her husband's share of the family pension of Rs.87-8-9 which stood in the name of Mr. R. P. Koneti Naik, the father of R. P. Venkatapathi Naik.

2. Petition dated the 26th October 1928 from Mr. R. P. Koneti Naik, praying for the reversion to him of the separated pension of his son, viz., Rs.29/-.

3. Letter No.4013/S.H.Try, dated the 21st December 1928 from the Comptroller, forwarding for orders the report of the State Huzur Treasury Officer ~~as requesting~~ regarding the distribution of the pension of R. P. Venkatapathi Naik who is reported to have died on 25th August 1928, and recommending that in the circumstances, Nanjammanna, the daughter of Ammanniamma, the 1st wife, may be granted an allowance of Rs.5/- per mensem till her marriage and the reaminder of Rs.24/- may be divided equally between Ammanniamma and Akkayyamma, the two widows of ~~XxXxXxxxxxxx~~ R. P. Venkatapathi Naik.

---:O:---

ORDER NO.FL. 5429/29/de/Sheps

Dated, Bangalore, the 19th January 1929.

1. The proposals of the State Huzur Treasury Officer are approved.

2. The question of readjustment of the shares should be examined, after the daughter is married, or when any of the sharers die.

Sd/ M. Viraraj Urs.

For Financial Secretary to Government.

To

The Comptroller to Mysore Government with records, with the request that the S.H.Try officer may be instructed to communicate the order to the parties Concerned.

Sd/ illegible